	Application No.		
Notice of Allowability	10/803.364		
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PETER POLTORAK	2434	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATEN of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in the community or other appropriate community RIGHTS. This application is sub-	is application. If not include cation will be mailed in due	led course. THIS
1. A This communication is responsive to communication/ame	ndment filed on 8/10/09 and a t	elephonic communication of	on 8/18/09.
<ol> <li>The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>14,15,18,20,22-26 and 30-38</u>.</li> </ol>			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u     a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of the:	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or	<b>(f)</b> .	
Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application I	No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority de</li></ol>	ocuments have been received in	this national stage applica	ation from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONI THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		reply complying with the re	equirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subr INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			NOTICE OF
<ol> <li>CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu</li> </ol>	st be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper	son's Patent Drawing Review (	PTO-948) attached	
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date	_		
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date	's Amendment / Comment or in	the Office action of	
Identifying Indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in	1.84(c)) should be written on the the header according to 37 CFR	drawings in the front (not th 1.121(d).	e back) of
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the dep- attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT</li> </ol>			Note the
Attachment(s)  1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application	
Notice of Neterences Cited (FTO-032)     Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
_ , , , ,	Paper No./Ma	ail Date 18 August 2009 .	
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),     Paper No./Mail Date	7. 🖂 Examiners An	nendment/Comment	
<ol> <li>Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material</li> </ol>	_	atement of Reasons for All	owance
	9.  Other		
	1		

Application/Control Number: 10/803,364 Page 2

Art Unit: 2434

## DETAILED ACTION

 This Office Action is in response to Applicant's communication/amendment filed on 8/10/09 and a telephonic communication on 8/18/09.

## Examiner Amendment

- 2. An Examiner's Amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to Applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the Issue Fee.
  - The following changes were authorized by Patrick Lujin in a telephone interview on 8/18/09.
- 3. Please cancel claim 26 and amend claims 14 and 38 as follows:

14. A method for recommending to a first computer user a decision for downloading content, the method implemented by the first user's computer comprising:

at the first user's computer:

reading a profile for the first user;

receiving a selection of one or more experts from a listing of experts that is presented when the user is presented with an option of downloading the content, wherein each of the one or more selected experts comprises an individual user other than the first user:

reading at least one expert profile associated with the one or more selected experts;

assigning an amount of weight to the at least one expert profile in accordance with trust factors associated with the associated at least one expert profile,

obtaining information about the content;

computing a recommendation of whether or not to trust the content;

providing said recommendation as a default option to the first user whether or not to trust the content, wherein the recommendation computation is based upon the profile for the first user, the at least one expert profile, the amount of weight assigned to the at least one expert profile, and the information about the content; and

updating the trust factors associated with the at least one expert profile based on a third party's valuation of the at least one expert.

38. The method of claim 30 wherein the recommendation is provided by the first user's computer.

--

## Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Art Unit: 2434

 In light of applicant's arguments/amendments and the examiner amendment authorized by applicant's representative claims 14-15, 18, 20, 22-26 and 30-38 are allowed.

5. Also, it is noted that the updated search revealed two pieces of prior art related to expert options. In particular, Deh-Lee (USPUB 2003/0140037) relates to a search list of experts in response to a user using a computer network, wherein a computer system includes an updateable and searchable database of expert profiles and receives search requests from users and applies a weight designated by the user to attributes of the expert as part of the search. Also Chidlovskii (USPN 6327590) aims to rank search results obtained from an information retrieval system, comparing the terms in the search query with a predetermined user context profile, the context profile preferably including a user profile or a community profile, which includes a set of terms which has been rated by the user, community or a recommender system. However, none of these references teach assigning an amount of weight to the at least one expert profile in accordance with trust factors associated with the associated at least one expert profile, especially as pertaining to other required limitations of the independent claims 14 and 27.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on statement of Reasons for Allowance".

Application/Control Number: 10/803,364

Art Unit: 2434

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Poltorak whose telephone number is (571) 272-3840. The examiner can normally be reached from Monday through Thursday from 9:00 until 5:00, and every other Friday from 9:00 until 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on (571) 272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

/Peter Poltorak/

Examiner, Art Unit 2434

/Nasser G Moazzami/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436